

Review

Feedback Amplification of Neutrophil Function

Tamás Németh^{1,2,*} and Attila Mócsai^{1,2,*}

As the first line of innate immune defense, neutrophils need to mount a rapid and robust antimicrobial response. Recent studies implicate various positive feedback amplification processes in achieving that goal. Feedback amplification ensures effective migration of neutrophils in shallow chemotactic gradients, multiple waves of neutrophil recruitment to the site of inflammation, and the augmentation of various effector functions of the cells. We review here such positive feedback loops including intracellular and autocrine processes, paracrine effects mediated by lipid (LTB₄), chemokine, and cytokine mediators, and bidirectional interactions with the complement system and with other immune and non-immune cells. These amplification mechanisms are not only involved in antimicrobial immunity but also contribute to neutrophil-mediated tissue damage under pathological conditions.

Overview of Feedback Amplification of Neutrophil Function

Homeostatic mechanisms mostly rely on negative feedback mechanisms. However, rapid and robust responses to external stimuli often require positive feedback mechanisms. Typical examples of positive feedback include the action potential, ovulation, and blood clotting, all of which result in dramatic changes in biological processes.

Neutrophils form the first line of innate immune defense against bacterial and fungal pathogens [1]. They are equipped with a multitude of cell-surface receptors for the recognition of microbial pathogens and the inflammatory environment [2], and migrate to the site of inflammation through a multistep recruitment process triggered by chemotactic agents including chemokines, lipid chemoattractants (primarily LTB₄), formyl-peptides, and complement fragments [3–5]. At the site of infection/inflammation, neutrophils use an armamentarium of effector functions, including phagocytosis, respiratory burst, degranulation, and neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) formation, to eliminate the invading microorganisms [6,7]. In addition, neutrophils also participate in the organization of the overall immune response [6–9]. Despite being short-lived, terminally differentiated cells with limited transcriptional activity, they can undergo stimulus-induced gene expression changes leading to chemokine and cytokine release into the extracellular space [6,10,11]. Given their robust effector functions, neutrophils also play a major role in tissue damage during infectious and non-infectious inflammatory diseases [6,12].

Several recent reports indicate important roles for self-perpetuating positive feedback amplification loops in promoting neutrophil recruitment and activation. Such feedback loops act at various levels, including amplification within single cells, paracrine interaction between different neutrophils, and more complex feedback loops involving other biochemical and cellular processes (Figure 1). These amplification loops have likely evolved to ensure swift and robust responses against invading microorganisms, but they also contribute to neutrophil-mediated tissue damage during infectious and sterile inflammatory diseases. We review here the various mechanisms of feedback amplification of neutrophil function and their relevance to

Trends

Neutrophil recruitment and activation is amplified by positive feedback loops involving neutrophil-derived mediators acting on neutrophils themselves.

Feedback amplification is critical for neutrophil-dependent antimicrobial functions but also for neutrophil-mediated tissue damage.

Feedback amplification can be observed at various levels including intracellular, autocrine, paracrine, and complex processes.

Feedback amplification is involved in various neutrophil functions including cellular polarization, neutrophil recruitment, effector responses, and interactions with other cells.

Neutrophil-derived proinflammatory mediators include LTB₄, chemokines (primarily CXCL molecules), and various cytokines.

Tyrosine kinase signaling pathways play a critical role in feedback amplification of neutrophil function.

¹Department of Physiology, Semmelweis University School of Medicine, 1094 Budapest, Hungary
²MTA-SE 'Lendület' Inflammation Physiology Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and Semmelweis University, 1094 Budapest, Hungary

*Correspondence: nemeth.tamas@med.semmelweis-univ.hu (T. Németh) and mocsai.attila@med.semmelweis-univ.hu (A. Mócsai).




Figure 1. Overview of Neutrophil Amplification Mechanisms. Activated neutrophils can directly enhance their own activity/migration through autocrine and paracrine routes. In addition, they can promote their own functions by modifying the activation state of the complement system, the release of various granule proteases, the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps, or by influencing the activity of other immune/non-immune cells. Abbreviations: NETs, neutrophil extracellular traps; PMN, polymorphonuclear cell (neutrophil).

neutrophil-mediated *in vivo* biological processes. Understanding feedback amplification of neutrophil function may facilitate the development of novel therapeutic strategies in diseases characterized by excessive neutrophil activation.


Feedback Amplification at the Single-Cell Level

Recent reports indicate that the function of single neutrophils is amplified by several intracellular or autocrine feedback amplification pathways.

Intracellular Feedback Loops Promoting Neutrophil Polarization

One of the most prominent biochemical features of neutrophil polarization is the accumulation of phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5) trisphosphate (PIP₃) at the leading edge. The cellular PIP₃ gradient is substantially steeper than that of the extracellular chemoattractant gradient, and exogenous PIP₃ leads to the accumulation of endogenous PIP₃ at the leading edge [13], suggesting the involvement of molecular amplification processes. Chemoattractant receptors trigger initial PIP₃ production at the leading edge through PI3-kinases activated by G-protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits (Figure 2). This initial PIP₃ production leads to activation of Rac small GTPases, which then activate the WAVE2 complex scaffolded by the Hem-1 protein, eventually leading to actin polymerization and activation of further endogenous PI3-kinases at the leading edge [13–15]. In addition, Rac GTPases also activate the NADPH oxidase and promote reactive oxygen species (ROS) production at the leading edge. This results in local inhibition of PTEN, a lipid phosphatase responsible for the degradation of PIP₃, leading to further accumulation of PIP₃ at the leading edge [16]. These mechanisms (Figure 2) contribute to the translation of a shallow extracellular gradient into robust neutrophil polarization.

While the leading edge is characterized by Rac activation and actin polymerization-based protrusions, the trailing edge (uropod) is dominated by Rho activation and myosin-based contraction through the Rho-activated kinase ROCK which phosphorylates and activates the myosin light chain MLC [5]. This differential signaling defines the biochemical basis of ‘frontness’ and ‘backness’ in polarized neutrophils (Figure 2). Interestingly, the two domains are mutually exclusive due to mutual inhibition of each other at the biochemical level [17]. Constitutive activation of the uropod components Rho, ROCK, or MLC inhibited the establishment of leading-edge features such as PIP₃ accumulation, Rac activation, or actin polymerization, while inhibition of the Rho/ROCK/myosin pathway had the opposite effect [17]. Furthermore, inhibition of ‘frontness’ features such as actin polymerization or Gi activation [18], or by knockdown of the leading edge organizer Hem-1 [15], inhibited ‘backness’ features such as uropod-specific localization of Rho and phosphorylated MLC. The reciprocal inhibition between ‘frontness’ and ‘backness’ features (i.e., the inhibition of the inhibitors) provides an additional level of positive feedback amplification of neutrophil polarization and contributes to the



Trends in Immunology

Figure 2. Intracellular and Autocrine Feedback Amplification Loops of Neutrophil Function. Neutrophils become polarized upon sensing the chemotactic gradient by forming a leading and a trailing edge. The leading edge is characterized by 'frontness' features including massive PIP_3 accumulation through the activation of PI3-kinases (PI3K). This leads to actin polymerization (polym.) by Rac and activation of further PI3K molecules. Activated Rac also promotes the function of the NADPH oxidase and ROS production, resulting in the inhibition of the PIP_3 -degrading PTEN. Meanwhile, the trailing edge is characterized by 'backness' features such as Rho activation and myosin-based contraction. Interestingly, there is a reciprocal inhibition between the 'frontness' and 'backness' features, amplifying the polarization process. In addition, ATP and its metabolite adenosine further amplifies neutrophil polarization/migration in an autocrine manner. Abbreviations: PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; PIP_2 , phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate; PIP_3 , phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate; PMN, polymorphonuclear cell (neutrophil); PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog; ROCK, Rho-associated protein kinase; ROS, reactive oxygen species.

rapid migration of neutrophils. It should be noted that PI3-kinase mediated cell polarization and migration is not unique to neutrophils in the immune system because it also exists in T cells and macrophages [19,20].

Feedback Amplification Through Autocrine Loops

Neutrophil migration is also promoted through autocrine feedback amplification loops (Figure 2). Neutrophils release ATP into the extracellular space from their leading edge [21] which then becomes hydrolyzed by ecto-ATPases to adenosine. ATP activates P2Y2 receptors at the leading edge to promote neutrophil polarization, whereas adenosine activates A3 receptors to promote migration of the cells along the chemotactic gradient [21]. Accordingly, P2Y2 and A3 receptors are required for effective directional migration of neutrophils both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [21]. ATP release also amplifies non-chemotactic functions of neutrophils through ligation of P2Y2 receptors on the same cells [22]. Taken together, autocrine activation of purinergic receptors contributes to robust neutrophil migration and activation responses, reminiscent of the role of IL-2 in T cell activation.

Integrin-Mediated Adhesion as a Positive Feedback Loop

The majority of neutrophil functions require adhesion to endothelial cells or extracellular matrix proteins through β_2 integrins. Integrins are bidirectional signaling molecules which relay extracellular signals to the inside of the cell ('outside-in' signaling) but their ligand-binding capacity (affinity or avidity) is also regulated by intracellular signals ('inside-out' signaling). Initial ligation of integrins triggers outside-in signals and functional responses through an immunoreceptor-like signaling pathway [23–31]. While integrin signaling routes mediate adhesion and/or spreading

of neutrophils [23–27], they also trigger inside-out signaling responses under some conditions [32–36]. The sequential activation of outside-in and inside-out integrin signaling results in feedback amplification of integrin-mediated neutrophil function.

Paracrine Mechanisms of Feedback Amplification

Neutrophils have also been shown to release extracellular mediators that promote the function of adjacent neutrophils in a paracrine manner. These mediators may either be bioactive lipids or proteinaceous (chemokine, cytokine) substances.

Amplification Through Neutrophil-Derived LTB₄

The effect of the neutrophil-derived lipid product LTB₄ on other neutrophils provides one of the best examples of feedback amplification of neutrophil function. Neutrophils are the most prominent source and one of the most prominent targets of LTB₄ [37]. LTB₄ accumulates at the site of inflammation in the autoantibody-induced K/B×N serum-transfer arthritis model [38,39]. Mice lacking key LTB₄ synthesis enzymes (5-lipoxygenase or LTA₄ hydrolase) or the high-affinity LTB₄ receptor BLT1 are protected from neutrophil accumulation and the development of autoantibody-induced arthritis [38,40,41]. Importantly, adoptive transfer of wild-type neutrophils restored arthritis development in 5-lipoxygenase-deficient (*Allox5*^{−/−}) and BLT1-deficient (*Ltb4r1*^{−/−}) recipients [38,40,41], indicating that neutrophils are both a major cellular source and the principal target of LTB₄ in this model (Figure 3). This was in line with the immune complex-induced *in vitro* release of LTB₄ from neutrophils but not macrophages [11,39,41,42].




Figure 3. Paracrine Neutrophil Amplification Circuits. (A) Neutrophils reciprocally interact with other neutrophils by producing the lipid mediator LTB₄, through chemokines such as CXCL1, CXCL2, and CCL3, by releasing myeloperoxidase from intracellular granules or through the release of MRP8/14. (B) Paracrine mechanisms through neutrophil-derived LTB₄, chemokines, and cytokines lead to feedback amplification of neutrophil recruitment from the vascular space. Release of all these mediators requires Src-family kinases Syk PLCγ2 as well as Vav-family members, whereas chemokine/cytokine but not LTB₄ release is mediated by CARD9. Abbreviations: LTB₄, leukotriene B₄; Mac-1, macrophage-1 antigen (CD11b/CD18); MPO, myeloperoxidase; PMN, polymorphonuclear cell (neutrophil); TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4.

Further signaling studies (Figure 3B) have also revealed that the LTB₄-mediated feedback amplification of neutrophil recruitment was mediated by Src-family kinases, Syk, PLCγ2, and, likely, Vav-family members [11,27,39,43,44], but not by the CARD9 adapter protein [11].

In a laser-induced sterile dermal inflammation model, the initial accumulation of resident neutrophils was followed by a second, larger wave of neutrophil recruitment and clustering [45]. This second wave, termed neutrophil 'swarming' because of its resemblance of the coordinated movement of insect swarms [45,46], was diminished in *Ltb4r1*^{-/-} and *Alox5*^{-/-} mice [45]. Interestingly, when wild-type and *Ltb4r1*^{-/-} neutrophils were coinjected into *Alox5*^{-/-} recipients, wild-type but not *Ltb4r1*^{-/-} neutrophils were able to accumulate at the site of injury, suggesting that neutrophils release LTB₄ which triggers recruitment of further neutrophils through BLT1 ligation [45]. These results provided further evidence for LTB₄-mediated amplification of neutrophil recruitment.

LTB₄-mediated amplification of neutrophil recruitment has also been reported in other *in vivo* inflammation models. Neutrophil-derived LTB₄ accumulated at the inflammatory site in a novel model of atopic dermatitis [47]. Importantly, *Ltb4r1*^{-/-} neutrophils were unable to accumulate at the inflammation site [47], indicating LTB₄-mediated feedback amplification also in this model (Figure 3). BLT1-dependent neutrophil clustering was also observed in a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection model [45], and BLT1 was also required for neutrophil recruitment and antibody-mediated tumor immunotherapy in experimental lymphoma [48].

Analysis of formyl-peptide-induced *in vitro* migration of neutrophils provided further evidence for an LTB₄-mediated amplification loop [49]. Formyl peptides triggered chemotactic migration of wild-type neutrophils but not those lacking the predominant formyl-peptide receptor FPR1. Inhibition of 5-lipoxygenase or genetic deletion of 5-lipoxygenase or BLT1 attenuated formyl-peptide-induced neutrophil migration [49]. Surprisingly, even *Fpr1*^{-/-} neutrophils were able to migrate towards formyl peptides when they were mixed with wild-type neutrophils. However, no migration of *Fpr1*^{-/-} neutrophils could be observed in the presence of 5-lipoxygenase inhibitors or when *Fpr1*^{-/-} neutrophils were mixed with *Alox5*^{-/-} cells [49]. These studies suggest that formyl peptides trigger a secondary gradient of LTB₄ which is able to direct the migration of even those cells that cannot sense the primary gradient. Transepithelial migration of neutrophils towards *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* also required neutrophil-derived LTB₄ acting through the BLT1 receptor [50].

Neutrophil-derived LTB₄ also amplifies non-migratory functions of neutrophils. Immune complex stimulation triggered robust release of LTB₄ from neutrophils [11,39], and the respiratory burst under such conditions was reduced by inhibitors of 5-lipoxygenase or BLT1 [42]. On the other hand, inhibition of 5-lipoxygenase or BLT1 did not affect adhesion or spreading of formyl-peptide-stimulated neutrophils, indicating the specificity of the LTB₄-mediated amplification loop [49].

A very recent report provided interesting mechanistic insight into LTB₄-mediated feedback amplification [51]. Both LTB₄ and its synthesizing enzymes 5-lipoxygenase, LTA₄-hydrolase, and FLAP were localized to multivesicular bodies and released in the form of extracellular vesicles upon fMLP-induced neutrophil activation [51]. Exosome-derived LTB₄ acted both on the originating as well as on neighboring cells to promote neutrophil migration towards an fMLP source [51]. These results extend prior reports [49] and suggest that LTB₄ exerts its effect at least in part through neutrophil-derived extracellular vesicles (Figure 3).

In conclusion, the lipid mediator LTB₄ directs neutrophils towards the site of inflammation and augments their cellular responses by several BLT1-dependent paracrine mechanisms, including

the potentiation of fMLP receptor signaling, contribution to immune complex-induced neutrophil activation, and the action of neutrophil-derived microvesicles on adjacent neutrophils.

Amplification by Neutrophil-Derived Chemokines

Neutrophil-derived chemokines are also involved in paracrine amplification of neutrophil function (Figure 3). In the K/B×N serum-transfer arthritis model, adoptive transfer of wild-type neutrophils into *Ltb4r1*^{-/-} mice surprisingly also restored the recruitment of *Ltb4r1*^{-/-} recipient neutrophils [40], suggesting that activated neutrophils are able to recruit other neutrophils through factors other than LTB₄. In addition, germline or neutrophil-specific deletion of the CARD9 gene expression regulator reduced autoantibody-induced arthritis and dermatitis, as well as blocking chemokine and cytokine but not LTB₄ release from neutrophils [11]. Indeed, immune complex-induced neutrophil activation triggered the release of several chemokines that are able to attract neutrophils, the most important of which is CXCL2 (MIP-2), but CXCL1 (KC) and CCL3 (MIP-1α) also have effects on neutrophils [11,39,52]. While LTB₄ was involved in the early phase of autoantibody-induced arthritis, neutrophil-derived chemokines promoted the recruitment of additional neutrophils during later stages of arthritis development as another feedback amplification process [52]. Additional signaling studies (Figure 3B) revealed that the chemokine-mediated feedback amplification of neutrophil recruitment was mediated by the CARD9 gene expression regulator acting downstream of Src-family kinases, Syk, PLCγ2, and, likely, Vav-family members [11,27,39,43,44].

Other Paracrine Amplification Mechanisms

Neutrophils release several additional molecules that are able to activate other neutrophils in a paracrine manner (Figure 3A). Myeloperoxidase, released from the primary (azurophilic) granules of neutrophils, binds to neutrophil Mac-1 and enhances respiratory burst and granule release [53]. Myeloperoxidase also attracts neutrophils by promoting their adhesion to endothelial cells through electrostatic interactions [54]. Importantly, neither of these responses required peroxidase activity [53,54], indicating a non-enzymatic action of myeloperoxidase. Neutrophil activation also triggers the release of ROS which are thought to amplify various neutrophil functions through the activation of tyrosine phosphorylation pathways and, possibly, other mechanisms [55,56]. Neutrophils also release adenosine which may enhance (but may also inhibit) neutrophil functions through different adenosine receptors [57]. In addition, neutrophil-derived TNF-α has been shown to contribute to amplification of IL-6 production by human neutrophils stimulated by a TLR8 ligand [58].

The MRP8/14 (S100A8/9) proteins are the most abundant cytosolic proteins in neutrophils and are released into the extracellular space upon neutrophil activation [59]. Importantly, MRP8/14 has been shown to promote neutrophil adhesion and, possibly, other neutrophil functions [60,61]. A recent report also revealed that MRP8/14 is released upon neutrophil rolling on E-selectin-coated endothelial surfaces and then promotes rapid activation of β₂ integrin-mediated neutrophil adhesion by binding to the TLR4 receptor [62], contributing to the amplification of neutrophil recruitment.

Taken together, several paracrine mechanisms contribute to positive feedback loops of neutrophil activation, including the lipid mediator LTB₄, chemokines, the granule component myeloperoxidase, and MRP8/14. These mediators act on different receptors including the LTB₄ receptor BLT1 and G protein-coupled chemokine receptors, as well as integrins and Toll-like receptors.


Complex Feedback Amplification Loops

Neutrophils are also involved in more complex feedback amplification loops involving complex biochemical pathways or interaction with other cell types.

Amplification of Neutrophil Function Through Complement Activation

The complement system interacts with neutrophils in a bidirectional manner. While neutrophils are capable of promoting complement activation, end-products of complement activation such as C5a, a major neutrophil chemoattractant, and C3b, a ligand of the β_2 -integrin Mac-1, also strongly stimulate neutrophils (Figure 4A).

The alternative pathway of complement activation mediates autoantibody-induced arthritis through the action of complement-derived C5a on C5a-receptors on neutrophils [41,63,64]. C5a is able to promote LTB₄ production by neutrophils [41] and mixed bone-marrow chimeric and adoptive neutrophil transfer experiments revealed that C5a-receptors and 5-lipoxygenase had to be present on the same individual neutrophils [41]. These results suggest that neutrophils act downstream of complement activation through C5a-mediated LTB₄ production. However, several lines of evidence suggest that neutrophils are also required for complement activation in this model. In the affected joints, neutrophils but not C3 or C5a-receptors were required for the initial vascular permeability increase [65] and neutrophils but not C5 were required for the initial accumulation of pathogenic anti-GPI antibodies [66]. Both these initial responses also required the Fc-receptor γ -chain which is thought to be primarily involved in neutrophil activation in this model [64–66]. Autoantibody-induced accumulation of C5/C5a was also abrogated in mice lacking the myeloid-specific Src-family kinases Hck, Fgr, and Lyn which likely mediate neutrophil activation in this model [39]. These results together suggest complement-mediated amplification



Trends in Immunology

Figure 4. Complex Feedback Amplification Loops. (A) Neutrophils activate the complement system by various routes. Activated complement fragments such as C5a or C3b further enhance neutrophil activation/recruitment. (B) Neutrophil granule proteases cleave chemokines and ECM proteins, resulting in the emergence of even more potent chemoattractants. (C) Neutrophils promote their own recruitment/activation by the release of different granule molecules and chemokines which trigger the release of neutrophil-acting chemokines from macrophages and Th17 cells. (D) Neutrophils activate platelets by granule proteins or NET formation, while platelets enhance neutrophil accumulation by direct interaction or chemokine release. (E) Neutrophils bidirectionally interact with fibroblasts and endothelial cells through different cytokines and chemokines. (F) NET formation results in the release of various autoantigens that trigger further autoantibody production and NET release. Abbreviations: ANCA, anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; ACPA, anti-citrullinated protein antibody; ECM, extracellular matrix; FcR, Fc receptor; IC, immune complex; Mac-1, macrophage-1 antigen (CD11b/CD18); MMP9, matrix metalloproteinase 9; MΦ, macrophage; NETs, neutrophil extracellular traps; PGP, proline-glycine-proline peptide fragments; PMN, polymorphonuclear cell (neutrophil); PSGL-1, P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1; Th17, Th17 cells.

of neutrophil function in autoantibody-induced arthritis and, possibly, in other autoantibody-mediated (e.g., glomerulonephritis, vasculitis, dermatitis) diseases (Figure 4A).

In vitro studies have also confirmed complement-mediated feedback amplification of neutrophil function. Supernatants of neutrophils activated by anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies triggered complement activation and C5a generation [67,68]. Such conditioned supernatants were then able to prime neutrophils for respiratory burst in a C5a-receptor-dependent manner, indicating existence of a feedback amplification loop [68]. Neutrophil activation by various stimuli also triggered the alternative pathway of complement activation which in turn resulted in neutrophil activation primarily through C5a generation [69].

The mechanism of neutrophil-mediated complement activation is still incompletely understood. While prior studies suggested a role for ROS and granule enzymes such as myeloperoxidase and neutrophil-derived proteases [67], those assumptions could not be confirmed by a more recent study [69]. On the other hand, activated neutrophils have been shown to release properdin, a positive regulator of the alternative complement pathway [69,70]. Together with the role of properdin expressed in a myeloid-lineage cell in the development of the K/B×N serum-transfer arthritis [71], these results suggest amplification of neutrophil function through complement activation triggered by neutrophil-derived properdin (Figure 4A).

Taken together, the activation of the complement system is a crucial feedback amplification mechanism of neutrophil function because the cascade can be initiated by neutrophils and its products (C5a and C3b) also act on neutrophils themselves, either as chemoattractants or activators of the cells.

Amplification by Granule Proteases

Neutrophils contain several granule proteins, including proteolytic enzymes such as the matrix metalloprotease gelatinase B (MMP9) and neutrophil elastase that are released upon cellular activation. In line with the role of matrix metalloproteases in processing various chemokines and cytokines [72], gelatinase B is able to cleave CXCL8/IL-8, strongly increasing its potency to further activate neutrophils [73]. Gelatinase B also triggers the generation of Pro-Gly-Pro (PGP) peptide fragments from extracellular matrix proteins that attract and activate neutrophils through CXCR1/2 during airway inflammation (Figure 4B) [74,75]. Neutrophil proteases have also been found to self-perpetuate the pathogenesis of experimental bullous pemphigoid: upon neutrophil activation, gelatinase B is released and activates neutrophil elastase by cleaving its natural inhibitor α_1 proteinase inhibitor; elastase then digests BP180, generating fragments with direct chemotactic activity on other neutrophils [76–78].

Taken together, granule proteases are not only important components of antimicrobial activity but they also actively participate in augmenting neutrophil functions by increasing the potency of neutrophil-active chemokines or by generating chemoattractants from extracellular proteins.

Amplification of Neutrophil Function Through Other Immune Cells

Neutrophils participate in bidirectional interactions with other immune cells [79]. Neutrophils can recruit and activate inflammatory monocytes/macrophages and vice versa. Both neutrophils and monocytes/macrophages can produce several chemokines including CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL8, CCL2, CCL3, and CCL4 in addition to expressing their receptors [80]. Furthermore, neutrophil granule components such as cathepsin G and azurocidin also attract monocytes [81]. Azurocidin exerts its recruitment effect directly through β_2 integrins, or indirectly through endothelial cells, and activates macrophages to release neutrophil degranulating cytokines, completing a feedback amplification loop [82] (Figure 4C). Activated macrophages can also release matrix metalloproteinases [83] such as MMP8 and MMP9 which promote neutrophil chemotaxis by

proteolytic activation of CXCL5 and CXCL8 [73,84]. Moreover, macrophages, in concert with TNF- α , can trigger CCL2 (MCP-1) release from neutrophils and promote their own recruitment [85]. Further details of the interaction of neutrophils and monocytes/macrophages can be found in [80,86].

Th17 cells are crucial in antifungal host defense and in various autoimmune diseases. A recent study showed that neutrophils stimulated by IFN- γ and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) release Th17 cell-attracting CCL2 and CCL20 chemokines, while activated Th17 cells produce the neutrophil chemoattractant CXCL8 [87] (Figure 4C). In line with this bidirectional recruitment effect, both neutrophils and Th17 cells were found in the synovial fluid of patients with rheumatoid arthritis and in gut samples from people with Crohn's disease [87]. Moreover, Th17 cells promote granulopoiesis via the release of G-CSF from tissue fibroblasts and can delay neutrophil apoptosis through the production of GM-CSF, TNF- α , and IFN- γ [87,88].

The pathogenesis of atherosclerosis serves as an example for a complex amplification loop between neutrophils, macrophages, and Th17 cells. Cholesterol crystals, which are typical constituents of advanced atherosclerotic plaques, can trigger macrophage IL-1 β and IL-6 production through NET formation, leading to the release of IL-17 from Th17 cells. IL-17 then triggers the release of neutrophil chemoattractants (e.g., CXCL2), resulting in the amplification of neutrophil recruitment and atherosclerosis progression in mice [89]. However, some authors argue against the importance of NET formation in the development of atherosclerosis, pointing to other potential neutrophil-mediated mechanisms [90].

Particular bacterial antigens can trigger neutrophils to produce mature IL-18 that promotes the release of IFN- γ from NK cells in the presence of dendritic cell-derived IL-12 [91]. IL-18-activated NK cells can prolong neutrophil survival through the production of GM-CSF and IFN- γ [92]. For further cooperative amplification loops between neutrophils and T cells or NK cells we refer to previous review articles [79,93].

The Role of Platelets and Stromal Cells in the Amplification of Neutrophil Function

Endothelium-attached activated platelets initiate a neutrophil-platelet interaction mainly through PSGL-1-P-selectin and LFA-1-ICAM-2 interactions that promote neutrophil extravasation [94]. Activated platelets also release CXCL4 and CCL5 from their α -granules that promote neutrophil accumulation in septic lungs in the coecal ligation and puncture model through the release of CXCL2 from alveolar macrophages [95,96]. On the other hand, neutrophil-derived cathepsin G can trigger platelet activation through the protease-activated receptor 4 (PAR4) and mediate intracellular calcium signaling [97]. Moreover, cathepsin G can cause platelet aggregation [98]. In the presence of LPS, platelets provoke NET formation, which activates the coagulation cascade with an increase in the local platelet-activating thrombin concentration [99]. Moreover, NETs can directly activate platelets [99] (Figure 4D). For further information, we refer to previous review articles [100,101].

In experimental arthritis, immune complex-activated neutrophils undergo gene expression changes and produce IL-1 β that provokes resident synovial tissue cells such as fibroblast-like synoviocytes to produce neutrophil-attracting chemokines [52,64]. Fibroblast-like synoviocytes mainly attract neutrophils by producing CXCL5, CXCL1, and CXCL2 [52] (Figure 4E).

There are several ways for endothelial cells to activate neutrophils and vice versa. Activated endothelial cells promote mobilization of neutrophils from the bone marrow through G-CSF, recruit them by chemokines (e.g., by CXCL1 and CXCL2), and participate in neutrophil extravasation; inflammatory neutrophils in turn produce cytokines (e.g., IL-1 β , TNF- α) that activate the vascular endothelium [52,102] (Figure 4E).

Danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) such as ATP or uric acid can be released from dying stromal cells and can trigger neutrophil migration/activation [103]. Because neutrophil influx often causes tissue injury, it is probable that necrosis-inducing neutrophils support their own recruitment and/or activation through these molecules. In conclusion, platelets and different types of stromal cells act in concert to trigger amplification of neutrophil activation and enhance their effector functions.

Additional Complex Amplification of Neutrophil Function

The pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus is a typical example of a feedback amplification involving neutrophils and dendritic cells. DNA–anti-DNA immune complexes trigger the release of NETs, increasing the amount of extracellular DNA molecules [104,105]. This process is amplified by immune complexes containing neutrophil-derived DNA decorated with the antimicrobial peptide LL-37, which activates plasmacytoid dendritic cells to release interferon- α (IFN- α); IFN- α then facilitates NET formation, amplifying the initial disease process (Figure 4F) [104,105].

In small vessel vasculitis, anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) can trigger the release of the B cell survival factor B lymphocyte stimulator (BLyS) from neutrophils, which, in addition to serving as a survival signal, helps the differentiation of B cells into ANCA-producing plasma cells [106]. These pathological ANCA targeting myeloperoxidase or proteinase 3 can then trigger NET formation, which results in the release of further myeloperoxidase and proteinase 3 autoantigens (Figure 4F) [107].

Similarly to ANCA, anti-citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) found in the most progressive forms of rheumatoid arthritis have been shown to provoke neutrophil NET formation, leading to release of citrullinated autoantigens and the generation of further ACPA-containing immune complexes (Figure 4F) [108]. Furthermore, these NETs could induce CXCL8 release from synovial fibroblasts [108].

In summary, positive neutrophil amplification loops also seem to be crucial components of human autoimmune disorders.

Concluding Remarks

The feedback amplification mechanisms discussed above are well suited to promote a rapid and robust neutrophil response during the early phases of microbial invasion. Feedback amplification may enhance neutrophil function at several different levels. It may promote sensing and responding to minute changes and small gradients in the extracellular environment, and it may augment the response of neutrophils by increasing the speed, amplitude, and range of the response. At the cellular level, positive feedback triggers the self-organization of cellular responses such as polarization or lamellipodium formation.

A typical feature of feedback amplification is that the entire process is abrogated irrespective of where the loop is broken, providing an opportunity for therapeutic intervention (see Outstanding Questions). However, this also often conceals the exact molecular mechanisms and hierarchies between the components involved. Given the strong feedback amplification of neutrophil function, one would expect that terminating the inflammation process would also require active mechanisms. Indeed, the past several years have revealed that termination of inflammation is governed by an active process, called resolution of inflammation, that is coordinated by specialized pro-resolving lipid mediators [109].

In addition to understanding the overall mechanisms of feedback amplification of neutrophil function, several reports have also contributed to understanding the signaling details involved in

Outstanding Questions

What is the spatiotemporal pattern of the release of the various proinflammatory mediators from neutrophils?

What other signaling pathways are involved in triggering proinflammatory mediator release from neutrophils?

How are neutrophil-derived extracellular vesicles involved in feedback amplification of neutrophil function?

Are lipid mediators other than LTB₄ involved in feedback amplification of neutrophil function?

Does the active cessation (resolution) of inflammation also utilize feedback amplification loops?

Are the same neutrophil amplification mechanisms required for neutrophil-mediated host defense and tissue damage?

Are neutrophil amplification mechanisms involved in vicious cycles in sepsis?

Can feedback amplification processes be targeted for therapeutic purposes?

Is it possible to inhibit neutrophil-mediated tissue damage without negatively affecting host defense?

an *in vivo* inflammatory environment. We and others have shown that a tyrosine kinase signaling pathway involving Src-family kinases, Syk, PLC γ 2, and CARD9 plays a crucial role in neutrophil-mediated inflammatory reactions, and proposed that they are primarily involved in triggering processes that amplify neutrophil function through the generation of extracellular inflammatory mediators [11,27,39,43,44,110]. Components of that pathway may become therapeutic targets of inflammatory diseases involving a robust neutrophil response [12].

Although feedback amplification is likely crucial for the swift and robust responses of neutrophils to invading microorganisms, it also contributes to neutrophil-mediated tissue damage. Understanding feedback amplification processes may therefore provide better understanding and possibly novel therapeutic targets in diseases characterized by a significant neutrophil-mediated inflammatory component.

Acknowledgments

We apologize to colleagues whose work could not be discussed owing to space limitations. Work in the laboratory of the authors has been funded by the 'Lendület' program of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (LP2013-66 to A.M.). A.M. was the recipient of a Wellcome Trust International Senior Research Fellowship (grant 087782). T.N. was the recipient of a János Bolyai Research Scholarship from the Hungarian Academy of Sciences.

References

- Nathan, C. (2006) Neutrophils and immunity: challenges and opportunities. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 6, 173–182
- Futosi, K. *et al.* (2013) Neutrophil cell surface receptors and their intracellular signal transduction pathways. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 17, 638–650
- Kolaczowska, E. *et al.* (2013) Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 13, 159–175
- Nourshargh, S. *et al.* (2014) Leukocyte migration into inflamed tissues. *Immunity* 41, 694–707
- Mócsai, A. *et al.* (2015) Intracellular signalling during neutrophil recruitment. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 107, 373–385
- Mócsai, A. (2013) Diverse novel functions of neutrophils in immunity, inflammation, and beyond. *J. Exp. Med.* 210, 1283–1299
- Mayadas, T.N. *et al.* (2014) The multifaceted functions of neutrophils. *Annu. Rev. Pathol.* 9, 181–218
- Mantovani, A. *et al.* (2011) Neutrophils in the activation and regulation of innate and adaptive immunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 11, 519–531
- Weber, F.C. *et al.* (2015) Neutrophils are required for both the sensitization and elicitation phase of contact hypersensitivity. *J. Exp. Med.* 212, 15–22
- Tecchio, C. *et al.* (2014) Neutrophil-derived cytokines: facts beyond expression. *Front. Immunol.* 5, 508
- Németh, T. *et al.* (2016) Neutrophil-specific deletion of the CARD9 gene expression regulator suppresses autoantibody-induced inflammation *in vivo*. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11004
- Németh, T. *et al.* (2012) The role of neutrophils in autoimmune diseases. *Immunol. Lett.* 143, 9–19
- Weiner, O.D. *et al.* (2002) A PtdInsP₃- and Rho GTPase-mediated positive feedback loop regulates neutrophil polarity. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 4, 509–513
- Wang, F. *et al.* (2002) Lipid products of PI3Ks maintain persistent cell polarity and directed motility in neutrophils. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 4, 513–518
- Weiner, O.D. *et al.* (2006) Hem-1 complexes are essential for Rac activation, actin polymerization, and myosin regulation during neutrophil chemotaxis. *PLoS Biol.* 4, e38
- Kuiper, J.W. *et al.* (2011) Rac regulates PtdInsP₃ signaling and the chemotactic compass through a redox-mediated feedback loop. *Blood* 118, 6164–6171
- Xu, J. *et al.* (2003) Divergent signals and cytoskeletal assemblies regulate self-organizing polarity in neutrophils. *Cell* 114, 201–214
- Wong, K. *et al.* (2006) Neutrophil polarization: spatiotemporal dynamics of RhoA activity support a self-organizing mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 3639–3644
- Turner, L. *et al.* (1995) RANTES-activated human T lymphocytes. A role for phosphoinositide 3-kinase. *J. Immunol.* 155, 2437–2444
- Hirsch, E. *et al.* (2000) Central role for G protein-coupled phosphoinositide 3-kinase γ in inflammation. *Science* 287, 1049–1053
- Chen, Y. *et al.* (2006) ATP release guides neutrophil chemotaxis via P2Y₂ and A₃ receptors. *Science* 314, 1792–1795
- Chen, Y. *et al.* (2010) Purinergic signaling: a fundamental mechanism in neutrophil activation. *Sci. Signal.* 3, ra45
- Lowell, C.A. *et al.* (1996) Deficiency of Src family kinases p59/⁶¹^{hck} and p58/^{c-fgr} results in defective adhesion-dependent neutrophil functions. *J. Cell Biol.* 133, 895–910
- Mócsai, A. *et al.* (1999) Adhesion-dependent degranulation of neutrophils requires the Src family kinases Fgr and Hck. *J. Immunol.* 162, 1120–1126
- Mócsai, A. *et al.* (2002) Syk is required for integrin signaling in neutrophils. *Immunity* 16, 547–558
- Newbrough, S.A. *et al.* (2003) SLP-76 regulates Fc γ receptor and integrin signaling in neutrophils. *Immunity* 19, 761–769
- Jakus, Z. *et al.* (2009) Critical role of phospholipase C γ 2 in integrin and Fc receptor-mediated neutrophil functions and the effector phase of autoimmune arthritis. *J. Exp. Med.* 206, 577–593
- Giagulli, C. *et al.* (2006) The Src family kinases Hck and Fgr are dispensable for inside-out, chemoattractant-induced signaling regulating β_2 integrin affinity and valency in neutrophils, but are required for β_2 integrin-mediated outside-in signaling involved in sustained adhesion. *J. Immunol.* 177, 604–611
- Graham, D.B. *et al.* (2007) Neutrophil-mediated oxidative burst and host defense are controlled by a Vav–PLC γ 2 signaling axis in mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* 117, 3445–3452
- Jakus, Z. *et al.* (2007) Immunoreceptor-like signaling by β_2 and β_3 integrins. *Trends Cell Biol.* 17, 493–501
- Mócsai, A. *et al.* (2010) The SYK tyrosine kinase: a crucial player in diverse biological functions. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 10, 387–402
- Zarbock, A. *et al.* (2007) Spleen tyrosine kinase Syk is necessary for E-selectin-induced $\alpha_4\beta_2$ integrin-mediated rolling on intercellular adhesion molecule-1. *Immunity* 26, 773–783
- Mueller, H. *et al.* (2010) Tyrosine kinase Btk regulates E-selectin-mediated integrin activation and neutrophil recruitment by controlling phospholipase C (PLC) γ 2 and PI3K γ pathways. *Blood* 115, 3118–3127

34. Yago, T. *et al.* (2010) E-selectin engages PSGL-1 and CD44 through a common signaling pathway to induce integrin $\alpha_5\beta_2$ -mediated slow leukocyte rolling. *Blood* 116, 485–494
35. Block, H. *et al.* (2012) Crucial role of SLP-76 and ADAP for neutrophil recruitment in mouse kidney ischemia-reperfusion injury. *J. Exp. Med.* 209, 407–421
36. Volmering, S. *et al.* (2016) The neutrophil Btk signalosome regulates integrin activation during sterile inflammation. *Immunity* 44, 73–87
37. Peters-Golden, M. *et al.* (2007) Leukotrienes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 357, 1841–1854
38. Chen, M. *et al.* (2006) Neutrophil-derived leukotriene B_4 is required for inflammatory arthritis. *J. Exp. Med.* 203, 837–842
39. Kovács, M. *et al.* (2014) The Src family kinases Hck, Fgr, and Lyn are critical for the generation of the in vivo inflammatory environment without a direct role in leukocyte recruitment. *J. Exp. Med.* 211, 1993–2011
40. Kim, N.D. *et al.* (2006) A unique requirement for the leukotriene B_4 receptor BLT1 for neutrophil recruitment in inflammatory arthritis. *J. Exp. Med.* 203, 829–835
41. Sadik, C.D. *et al.* (2012) Neutrophils orchestrate their own recruitment in murine arthritis through C5aR and Fc γ R signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, E3177–E3185
42. Kulkarni, S. *et al.* (2011) PI3K β plays a critical role in neutrophil activation by immune complexes. *Sci. Signal.* 4, ra23
43. Jakus, Z. *et al.* (2010) Genetic deficiency of Syk protects mice from autoantibody-induced arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 62, 1899–1910
44. Cremasco, V. *et al.* (2008) Vav/phospholipase $Cy2$ -mediated control of a neutrophil-dependent murine model of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 58, 2712–2722
45. Lammermann, T. *et al.* (2013) Neutrophil swarms require LTB $_4$ and integrins at sites of cell death in vivo. *Nature* 498, 371–375
46. Chitanova, T. *et al.* (2008) Dynamics of neutrophil migration in lymph nodes during infection. *Immunity* 29, 487–496
47. Oyoshi, M.K. *et al.* (2012) Leukotriene B_4 -driven neutrophil recruitment to the skin is essential for allergic skin inflammation. *Immunity* 37, 747–758
48. Allendorf, D.J. *et al.* (2005) C5a-mediated leukotriene B_4 -amplified neutrophil chemotaxis is essential in tumor immunotherapy facilitated by anti-tumor monoclonal antibody and β -glucan. *J. Immunol.* 174, 7050–7056
49. Afonso, P.V. *et al.* (2012) LTB $_4$ is a signal-relay molecule during neutrophil chemotaxis. *Dev. Cell* 22, 1079–1091
50. Pazos, M.A. *et al.* (2015) Distinct cellular sources of heparin A3 and leukotriene B_4 are used to coordinate bacterial-induced neutrophil transepithelial migration. *J. Immunol.* 194, 1304–1315
51. Majumdar, R. *et al.* (2016) Exosomes mediate LTB $_4$ release during neutrophil chemotaxis. *PLoS Biol.* 14, e1002336
52. Chou, R.C. *et al.* (2010) Lipid-cytokine-chemokine cascade drives neutrophil recruitment in a murine model of inflammatory arthritis. *Immunity* 33, 266–278
53. Lau, D. *et al.* (2005) Myeloperoxidase mediates neutrophil activation by association with CD11b/CD18 integrins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 431–436
54. Klinke, A. *et al.* (2011) Myeloperoxidase attracts neutrophils by physical forces. *Blood* 117, 1350–1358
55. Fialkow, L. *et al.* (2007) Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species as signaling molecules regulating neutrophil function. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 42, 153–164
56. Pricop, L. *et al.* (2002) Redox regulation of Fc γ receptor-mediated phagocytosis: implications for host defense and tissue injury. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 4, 85–95
57. Barletta, K.E. *et al.* (2012) Regulation of neutrophil function by adenosine. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Biol.* 32, 856–864
58. Zimmermann, M. *et al.* (2015) Chromatin remodelling and auto-crine TNF α are required for optimal interleukin-6 expression in activated human neutrophils. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6061
59. Ehrchen, J.M. *et al.* (2009) The endogenous Toll-like receptor 4 agonist S100A8/S100A9 (calprotectin) as innate amplifier of infection, autoimmunity, and cancer. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 86, 557–566
60. Newton, R.A. *et al.* (1998) The human S100 protein MRP-14 is a novel activator of the β_2 integrin Mac-1 on neutrophils. *J. Immunol.* 160, 1427–1435
61. Ryckman, C. *et al.* (2003) Proinflammatory activities of S100: proteins S100A8, S100A9, and S100A8/A9 induce neutrophil chemotaxis and adhesion. *J. Immunol.* 170, 3233–3242
62. Pruenster, M. *et al.* (2015) Extracellular MRP8/14 is a regulator of β_2 integrin-dependent neutrophil slow rolling and adhesion. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 6915
63. Ji, H. *et al.* (2002) Arthritis critically dependent on innate immune system players. *Immunity* 16, 157–168
64. Monach, P.A. *et al.* (2010) Neutrophils in a mouse model of autoantibody-mediated arthritis: critical producers of Fc receptor γ , the receptor for C5a, and lymphocyte function-associated antigen 1. *Arthritis Rheum.* 62, 753–764
65. Binstadt, B.A. *et al.* (2006) Particularities of the vasculature can promote the organ specificity of autoimmune attack. *Nat. Immunol.* 7, 284–292
66. Wipke, B.T. *et al.* (2004) Staging the initiation of autoantibody-induced arthritis: a critical role for immune complexes. *J. Immunol.* 172, 7694–7702
67. Xiao, H. *et al.* (2007) Alternative complement pathway in the pathogenesis of disease mediated by anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibodies. *Am. J. Pathol.* 170, 52–64
68. Schreiber, A. *et al.* (2009) C5a receptor mediates neutrophil activation and ANCA-induced glomerulonephritis. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 20, 289–298
69. Camous, L. *et al.* (2011) Complement alternative pathway acts as a positive feedback amplification of neutrophil activation. *Blood* 117, 1340–1349
70. Wirthmueller, U. *et al.* (1997) Properdin, a positive regulator of complement activation, is released from secondary granules of stimulated peripheral blood neutrophils. *J. Immunol.* 158, 4444–4451
71. Kimura, Y. *et al.* (2010) Genetic and therapeutic targeting of properdin in mice prevents complement-mediated tissue injury. *J. Clin. Invest.* 120, 3545–3554
72. Van Lint, P. *et al.* (2007) Chemokine and cytokine processing by matrix metalloproteinases and its effect on leukocyte migration and inflammation. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 82, 1375–1381
73. Van den Steen, P.E. *et al.* (2000) Neutrophil gelatinase B potentiates interleukin-8 tenfold by aminoterminal processing, whereas it degrades CTAP-III, PF-4, and GRO- α and leaves RANTES and MCP-2 intact. *Blood* 96, 2673–2681
74. Weathington, N.M. *et al.* (2006) A novel peptide CXCR ligand derived from extracellular matrix degradation during airway inflammation. *Nat. Med.* 12, 317–323
75. Gaggari, A. *et al.* (2008) A novel proteolytic cascade generates an extracellular matrix-derived chemoattractant in chronic neutrophilic inflammation. *J. Immunol.* 180, 5662–5669
76. Liu, Z. *et al.* (1998) Gelatinase B-deficient mice are resistant to experimental bullous pemphigoid. *J. Exp. Med.* 188, 475–482
77. Liu, Z. *et al.* (2000) The serpin $\alpha 1$ -proteinase inhibitor is a critical substrate for gelatinase B/MMP-9 in vivo. *Cell* 102, 647–655
78. Lin, L. *et al.* (2012) Neutrophil elastase cleaves the murine hemidesmosomal protein BP180/type XVII collagen and generates degradation products that modulate experimental bullous pemphigoid. *Matrix Biol.* 31, 38–44
79. Scapini, P. *et al.* (2014) Social networking of human neutrophils within the immune system. *Blood* 124, 710–719
80. Silva, M.T. (2010) When two is better than one: macrophages and neutrophils work in concert in innate immunity as complementary and cooperative partners of a myeloid phagocyte system. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 87, 93–106
81. Chertov, O. *et al.* (1997) Identification of human neutrophil-derived cathepsin G and azurocidin/CAP37 as chemoattractants for mononuclear cells and neutrophils. *J. Exp. Med.* 186, 739–747
82. Soehnlein, O. *et al.* (2009) Neutrophil-derived azurocidin alarms the immune system. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 85, 344–351
83. Lemjabbar, H. *et al.* (1999) Overexpression of alveolar macrophage gelatinase B (MMP-9) in patients with idiopathic

- pulmonary fibrosis: effects of steroid and immunosuppressive treatment. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 20, 903–913
84. Tester, A.M. *et al.* (2007) LPS responsiveness and neutrophil chemotaxis in vivo require PMN MMP-8 activity. *PLoS ONE* 2, e312
 85. Yamashiro, S. *et al.* (2001) Phenotypic and functional change of cytokine-activated neutrophils: inflammatory neutrophils are heterogeneous and enhance adaptive immune responses. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 69, 698–704
 86. Soehnlein, O. *et al.* (2010) Phagocyte partnership during the onset and resolution of inflammation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 10, 427–439
 87. Pelletier, M. *et al.* (2010) Evidence for a cross-talk between human neutrophils and Th17 cells. *Blood* 115, 335–343
 88. Stark, M.A. *et al.* (2005) Phagocytosis of apoptotic neutrophils regulates granulopoiesis via IL-23 and IL-17. *Immunity* 22, 285–294
 89. Warnatsch, A. *et al.* (2015) Inflammation. Neutrophil extracellular traps license macrophages for cytokine production in atherosclerosis. *Science* 349, 316–320
 90. Soehnlein, O. *et al.* (2015) Neutrophil-macrophage interplay in atherosclerosis: protease-mediated cytokine processing versus NET release. *Thromb. Haemost.* 114, 866–867
 91. Sporri, R. *et al.* (2008) A novel role for neutrophils as critical activators of NK cells. *J. Immunol.* 181, 7121–7130
 92. Costantini, C. *et al.* (2010) Neutrophil activation and survival are modulated by interaction with NK cells. *Int. Immunol.* 22, 827–838
 93. Costantini, C. *et al.* (2011) The defensive alliance between neutrophils and NK cells as a novel arm of innate immunity. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 89, 221–233
 94. Zarbock, A. *et al.* (2007) Platelet-neutrophil-interactions: linking hemostasis and inflammation. *Blood Rev.* 21, 99–111
 95. Hwaiz, R. *et al.* (2015) Rac1-dependent secretion of platelet-derived CCL5 regulates neutrophil recruitment via activation of alveolar macrophages in septic lung injury. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 97, 975–984
 96. Hwaiz, R. *et al.* (2015) Platelet secretion of CXCL4 is Rac1-dependent and regulates neutrophil infiltration and tissue damage in septic lung damage. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 172, 5347–5359
 97. Sambrano, G.R. *et al.* (2000) Cathepsin G activates protease-activated receptor-4 in human platelets. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 6819–6823
 98. Faraday, N. *et al.* (2013) Cathepsin G-dependent modulation of platelet thrombus formation in vivo by blood neutrophils. *PLoS ONE* 8, e71447
 99. Franco, A.T. *et al.* (2015) Platelets at the interface of thrombosis, inflammation, and cancer. *Blood* 126, 582–588
 100. Rossaint, J. *et al.* (2015) Platelets in leucocyte recruitment and function. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 107, 386–395
 101. Li, J. *et al.* (2015) Platelet-neutrophil interactions under thromboinflammatory conditions. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 72, 2627–2643
 102. Dimasi, D. *et al.* (2013) Neutrophil interactions with the vascular endothelium. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 17, 1167–1175
 103. Linkermann, A. *et al.* (2014) Regulated cell death and inflammation: an auto-amplification loop causes organ failure. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 14, 759–767
 104. Lande, R. *et al.* (2011) Neutrophils activate plasmacytoid dendritic cells by releasing self-DNA-peptide complexes in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 3, 73ra19
 105. Garcia-Romo, G.S. *et al.* (2011) Netting neutrophils are major inducers of type I IFN production in pediatric systemic lupus erythematosus. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 3, 73ra20
 106. Kaplan, M.J. (2013) Role of neutrophils in systemic autoimmune diseases. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 15, 219
 107. Kessenbrock, K. *et al.* (2009) Netting neutrophils in autoimmune small-vessel vasculitis. *Nat. Med.* 15, 623–625
 108. Khandpur, R. *et al.* (2013) NETs are a source of citrullinated autoantigens and stimulate inflammatory responses in rheumatoid arthritis. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 5, 178ra140
 109. Serhan, C.N. (2014) Pro-resolving lipid mediators are leads for resolution physiology. *Nature* 510, 92–101
 110. Elliott, E.R. *et al.* (2011) Deletion of Syk in neutrophils prevents immune complex arthritis. *J. Immunol.* 187, 4319–4330